

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/611,493	07/01/2003		Jerry Denham	038190/264941	3338	
826	7590	03/10/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ALSTON &			MAYES, MELVIN C			
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000			00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHARLOT			1734			

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			//	
. •		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/611,493	DENHAM ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Melvin Curtis Mayes	1734	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i	Claim(s) 11-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 11-20,25-30 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 21-24 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.	-vaminer	
اسارها	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Prioritv ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) 🔯 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/03.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		

Art Unit: 1734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

(1)

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

(2)

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the ceramic fiber. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 13 claims the ceramic fiber is selected from the group. Which layer of Claim 11 is made of this ceramic fiber, the outer, batting or inner layer?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

(3)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1734

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

(4)

Claims 11, 13-20 and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Barney et al. 6,652,950 in view of DiChiara, Jr. et al. 6,479,104 and Davis et al. 2002/0189496.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter

Art Unit: 1734

disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Barney et al. disclose a method of making a thermal insulating blanket comprising: providing a batting of alumina fiber material; covering the batting with an outer insulating layer of woven ceramic fiber material such as the trade name product NEXTEL 440 and an inner insulating layer of woven ceramic material such as E-glass; stitching the batting and layers together; impregnating the outer insulating layer with a ceramic coating such as lanthanum phosphate (col. 2-4). Barney et al. do not disclose compressing the blanket after impregnating or sintering after curing (drying) the ceramic coating.

DiChiara, Jr. et al. teach that to control the surface mechanical and thermal properties of ceramic coatings applied to a substrate, a mechanical overpressure is applied to the coating with a pressing tool, such as a flat and smooth tool to form a flat and highly reflective coating (col. 5, line 18 – col. 6, line 25).

Davis et al. teach that monazite-based coatings such as lanthanum phosphate are provided on ceramic fabrics by applying as a slurry on the fabric, drying the slurry then sintering at 1800-

Art Unit: 1734

2400°F to improve the durability of the coating. Davis et al. teach that the coating can also comprise SiC additives and that the aqueous slurry comprise 15-25 vol% solids [0012]-[0016].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the method of Barney et al. for making a thermal insulating blanket by compressing the blanket with a smooth surface tool after impregnating with the lanthanum phosphate (monazite) coating, as taught by DiChiara, Jr. et al., to control the surface mechanical and thermal properties of the coating, thus providing the coating with a flat and highly reflective coating.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have further modified the method of Barney et al. by sintering the coating at 1800-2400°F after impregnating and compressing, as taught by Davis et al., to improve the durability of the monazite coating.

Providing the coating as an aqueous of 15-25 vol%, as encompassed by Claim 20, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as taught by Davis et al.

Providing the outer insulating layer of thickness as claimed in Claim 15, impregnating the layer by soaking or as claimed in Claim 19 and turning the edges of the outer layer as claimed in Claim 27 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for making a thermal insulating blanket.

(5)

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Fischer et al. 6,497,390.

Fisher et al. teach that in making thermal protection, one or several plies can be used to form the ceramic cover layer to be stitched to the underlying insulation mat (col. 3, line 47-51).

Art Unit: 1734

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the method of the references as combined by covering the batting with multiple plies for the outer insulating layer, as Fisher et al. teach that one or several plies can be used to form the ceramic cover layer to be stitched to an insulation mat for forming thermal protection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

(6)

Claims 21-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

(7)

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

(8)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Curtis Mayes whose telephone number is 571-272-1234. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melvin Curty Mayes Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

MCM March 2, 2004